(vi) Strong opposition against privatisation.

MCD and NDMC have been advised to take the following action:—

(a) Improve waste management with zero tolerance in selected areas.

(b) Undertake special drives at frequent intervals.

(c) Ensure affective supervision by senior level officials.

(d) Upgrade skills and new innovative technologies.

(e) Manage through contract system to ensure better maintenance and customer satisfaction.

Ministry of Urban Development proposes to monitor the progress made in this direction by interacting with the concerned agencies to galvanise them and to ensure cohesive integrated approach with firm action.

(d) Does not arise.

Central Scheme to Develop Small and Medium Town in Orissa

1176. SHRI RAMACHANDRA KHUNTIA: Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government assist various States under the Central sponsored scheme to develop small and medium towns;

(b) if so, the details thereof; and

(c) the names of the towns where it was implemented or proposed to be implemented in Orissa?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT (SHRI BANDARU DATTATREYA): (a) Yes, Sir. The Central Government assist various States for development of Small and Medium Towns under the Centrally Sponsored Scheme of Integrated Development of Small and Medium Towns (IDSMT).

(b) Central Assistance amounting to Rs. 363.10 crores has been released to 946 towns of various States/Union Territories are given in Statement-I *(See* below) and the expenditure reported by the State Government is Rs. 569.80 crores.

(c) Towns where the Scheme has been implemented in Orissa is given in Statement-II *(See* below).

Subject to availability of funds and completion of all formalities, it is proposed to cover the following towns, as per the priority given by the State Govenrment.

1. Anantpur
2. Soro
3. Balasore
4. Aska
5. Banki.

Statement-I

Cumulative release of Central Assistance and Expenditure reported under IDSMT(From 1979-80 upto 4th Jan' 2000)

Rupees in crores

SI. No.	State/UTs	Town Covered	Funds Released	Expenditure Reported
1.	Andhra Pradesh	77	37.85	59.93
2.	Arunachal Pradesh	5	0.94	1.64
3.	Assam	21	6.88	8.69
4.	Bihar	42	11.71	14.75
5.	Goa	6	1.66	1.19
6.	Gujarat	54	24.47	42.73
7.	Haryana	14	5.55	9.99
8.	Himachal Pradesh	7	2.19	4.65
9.	Jammu & Kashmir	8	3.40	6.15
10.	Karnataka	79	28.08	34.49
11.	Kerala	33	14.55	26.34
12.	Madhya Pradesh	75	28.19	33.17
13.	Maharashtra	98	47.10	84.46
14.	Manipur	11	3.46	4.48
15.	Meghalaya	7	2.26	4.48
16.	Mizoram	5	2.33	6.52
17.	Nagaland	7	2.23	4.04
18.	Orissa	42	13.22	18.49
19.	Punjab	27	11.06	22.87
20.	Rajasthan	45	20.94	39.03
21.	Sikkim	5	1.29	2.72
22.	Tamil Nadu	100	33.36	51.72

SI. No.	State/UTs	Town Covered	Funds Released	Expenditure Reported
23.	Tripura	10	3.16	3.84
24.	Uttar Pradesh	89	27.98	41.76
25.	West Bengal	68	24.61	38.67
26.	A&N Islands	1	0.92	1.24
27.	D&N Haveli	2	1.12	0.16
28.	Daman & Diu	1	0.23	0.00
29.	Lakshadweep	1	0.25	0.00
30.	Pondicherry	6	2.11	1.60
	Grand Total	946	363.10	569.80

STATEMENT-II

Name of the towns where 1DSMT Schemes has been implemented in Orissa

SI. No.	Town	SI. No.	Town
	6th Plan		8th Plan
1.	Puri	23.	Jaipur
2.	Sambalpur	24.	Basudevpur
3.	Baleshwar	25.	Athagad
4.	Raurkela	26.	Jhapsuguda
5.	Jeypur	27.	Digapahandi
6.	Dhenkanal	28.	Bhavananagar
		29.	Titlagarh
7th Plan		30.	Umarkote
		31.	Choudwar
7.	Keonjhar	32.	Tarbha
8.	Baripara	33.	Chhatrapur
9.	Balangir	34.	Parlakhemundi
10.	Paradip	35.	Kamashyanagar
11.	Koraput	36.	Nabarangpur
12.	Phulabani	37.*	Brahmpur
13.	Bhawanipatna		
1990-91			
14.	Kendrapara	38.*	Konack
15.	Anugul	39.*	Puri

SI. No. Town	SI. No. Town
16. Jajapur Road	40.* Nilgiri
17. Bargarh	41.* Athamallik
18. Rayagada	
19. Gopalpur	9th Plan
1991-92	42.* Pattamundai
20. Bhadrak	
21. Sundargarh	
22. Jagatsinghpur	

*Towns covered under revised IDSMT Guidelines, August, 1995

Conversion of plots/flats from leasehold to freehold

1177. SHRI DILIP SINGH JUDEV: Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that Government have announced a scheme for conversion of plots/flats from lease-hold to free-hold;

(b) if so, how many applications have been received by the Land and Development Officer (L&DO) and how many cases have so far been disposed of for conversion from lease-hold to free-hold;

(c) whether it is a fact mat the officers in the L&DO are unnecessarily raising objections in case of General Power of Attorney holders;

(d) if so, what are the reasons therefor; and

(e) what steps are being taken to dispose of applications quickly and without harassing the applicants?

THE. MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT (SHRI BANDARU DATTAREYA): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) As on 31.1.2000,21337 applications for conversion from leasehold into freehold have been received by L&DO. Out of these 17350 applications have been processed/disposed off.

(c) No, Sir.

(d) Does not arise.

(e) In order to expedite disposal of the applications, the following steps have been taken.